

UNIVERSITY of HOUSTON

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION REPORTS

Administered By: Measurement and Evaluation Center

COLLEGE OF LIBERAL ARTS & SOCIAL SCIENCES

Spring 2021 **Instructor: Galvez Soriano, Oscar De Jesus** **Instruction Mode: Synchronous Online**
ECON2304-19046 **Microeconomic Principles**

Section 1 Instructor

	Relative Frequency Distribution of Response						Section Statistics			Dept. Statistics			College Statistics		
	N	Agree	Agree	Neutral	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Disagree	NA	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	Mean	Std. Dev.
1) The instructor provided a syllabus and reviewed course expectations at the beginning of the semester.	37	75.7	24.3	0.0	0.0	0.0		4.76	0.43	1400	4.6	0.72	17375	4.63	0.68
2) The instructor presented the course in a clear, logical and organized manner.	37	54.1	37.8	5.4	2.7	0.0		4.43	0.73	1396	4.36	0.98	17332	4.45	0.89
3) The instructor encouraged student participation, questions, and discussion as the class size allowed.	37	51.4	35.1	13.5	0.0	0.0		4.38	0.72	1390	4.45	0.85	16804	4.48	0.86
4) The instructor encouraged critical thinking and evaluation of ideas and evidence.	37	54.1	37.8	8.1	0.0	0.0		4.46	0.65	1392	4.4	0.89	17205	4.49	0.84
5) The instructor was well prepared for class.	37	56.8	29.7	10.8	2.7	0.0		4.41	0.8	1391	4.4	0.95	16958	4.48	0.87
6) Tests, examinations, projects, papers, or creative activities reflected materials emphasized in the course.	37	67.6	27.0	5.4	0.0	0.0		4.62	0.59	1392	4.29	1.02	17336	4.49	0.84
7) The grading practices were clear, consistently followed and fair.	37	73.0	18.9	8.1	0.0	0.0		4.65	0.63	1387	4.36	0.94	17337	4.41	0.94
8) The instructor evaluated exams, quizzes, papers or creative activities in a timely manner.	37	75.7	21.6	2.7	0.0	0.0		4.73	0.51	1382	4.4	0.92	17266	4.41	0.95
9) The teaching assistant was receptive to questions.	29	37.9	20.7	41.4	0.0	0.0	8	3.97	0.91	1171	4.19	0.99	12469	4.3	0.94
10) The teaching assistant was available for assistance to students.	30	33.3	26.7	40.0	0.0	0.0	7	3.93	0.87	1174	4.18	0.99	12336	4.28	0.95

Section 2 Common University-Wide Items

	Relative Frequency Distribution of Response					Section Statistics			Dept. Statistics			College Statistics		
	Outstanding	Above Average	Average	Below Average	Poor	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	Mean	Std. Dev.
11) The overall teaching effectiveness of this instructor is	35.1	46.0	16.2	0.0	2.7	37	4.11	0.88	1405	4.16	1.05	17453	4.22	1
12) The overall quality of this course is	36.1	44.4	16.7	2.8	0.0	36	4.14	0.8	1397	4.06	1.04	17424	4.18	1.02
13) This instructors availability for individual assistance is	46.0	40.5	13.5	0.0	0.0	37	4.32	0.71	1397	4.21	0.99	17388	4.26	0.98
14) This instructors demonstration of respect for students is	62.2	35.1	0.0	2.7	0.0	37	4.57	0.65	1399	4.45	0.91	17386	4.44	0.88

Section 3

	Never	Very Seldom	Quarter of the time	About half the time	More than half the time
15) How often did the instructor come more than ten minutes late?	78.4	18.9	0.0	0.0	2.7

	Never	Very Seldom	Quarter of the time	About half the time	More than half the time
16) How often did the instructor either cancel or not show up to teach?	89.2	10.8	0.0	0.0	0.0

Section 4 Student Information

	Freshman	Sophomore	Junior	Senior	Graduate	Post Baccalaureate
17) Classification:	18.9	40.5	32.4	8.1	0.0	0.0
	Required course	Required, but would have taken it anyway	Interest in the subject		Other	
18) Reason I enrolled in this course:	81.1	13.5	5.4		0.0	
	This department	Another department in the College of Liberal Arts and Social Sciences	Natural Sciences and Mathematics		Other	
19) My major is in:	32.4	0.0	13.5		54.1	
	Much less than in most courses I have taken	Somewhat less than in most courses I have taken	About the same as in most courses I have taken	Somewhat more than in most courses I have taken	Much more than in most course I have taken	
20) Compared to other courses at the same level, the amount of work I did was:	2.7	5.4	59.5	32.4	0.0	
	More than half of the time	About half of the time	About a quarter of the time	Only a few times	Never	
21) How often did you miss class?	5.4	0.0	2.7	59.5	32.4	
	<2.0	2.00 - 2.49	2.50 - 2.99	3.00 - 3.50	3.51 - 4.00	
22) In what range does your current GPA fall?	0.0	5.4	18.9	40.5	35.1	

Section 5 Distance Education

	Relative Frequency Distribution of Response						Section Statistics		Dept. Statistics			College Statistics			Dist Edu Stats			
	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	NA	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	Std Dev
23) Course Objectives were clearly indicated in the syllabus or somewhere online	70.3	29.7	0.0	0.0	0.0		37	4.7	0.46	1341	4.57	0.73	16859	4.59	0.71	47542	4.51	0.77
24) The quizzes/tests and/or assignments were related to the lectures and course material	70.3	29.7	0.0	0.0	0.0		37	4.7	0.46	1336	4.4	0.91	16774	4.54	0.77	47005	4.48	0.8
25) Grading criteria for this course was clear	70.3	27.0	0.0	2.7	0.0		37	4.65	0.63	1330	4.44	0.83	16749	4.46	0.87	47262	4.39	0.91
26) The instructor or TA provided timely feedback and was available to discuss my progress	48.5	39.4	12.1	0.0	0.0	4	33	4.36	0.7	1227	4.24	0.96	14984	4.34	0.95	44062	4.29	0.99
27) The technology used to deliver this course was helpful [beneficial] to learning the material	54.1	43.2	2.7	0.0	0.0		37	4.51	0.56	1328	4.27	0.99	16481	4.36	0.93	46680	4.31	0.95
28) Technical assistance was available when I needed it	35.5	48.4	16.1	0.0	0.0	6	31	4.19	0.7	1191	4.26	0.95	14176	4.34	0.9	41789	4.3	0.92

Section 6 Students' Comments

What, specifically, were the strengths of the class?

1) Professor was very knowledgeable in Economics and offered many resources to better understand the material.

2) Our tests were very similar to what was taught

3) Professor made sure to give us all the tools we needed to succeed in the class. He was very helpful and always responsive.

4) Everything is good with respect to the class.

5) Good communication Good teaching

6) n/a

7) *The organized layout of materials, lectures, work and dates.*

8) *The tests were reflective of the course material we went over. There were no surprise questions. Also his ability to come off as welcoming. I was not afraid to ask questions.*

9) *He was very patient*

10) *Homework was very clear.*

11) *The professor really took his time in explaining the material clearly. He also made sure that everybody understood the material. The professor also gave reasonable assignments and homework.*

12) *It taught me economics.*

13) *The professor caring for his students.*

14) *Organization and presentation of material during lectures*

15) *The professor presented the material in a way that was very easy to understand.*

16) *The lectures were a good part of the class.*

17) *Great teaching, great slide shows that covered everything we were going over. Not too much homework to do but just enough to assist us in learning.*

18) *His explanations were very thorough.*

19) *The professor is very responsive and helpful.*

20) *The method the lecture was taught. The professor did a great job in lecturing and explaining the material so all students can understand the material.*

21) *The reviews*

22) *Simplification of the class.*

How could the instructor improve the course?

1) N/A

2) *the instructor does not give the best lectures*

3) *The only downfall of this course for me is that it was online. Not an issue with the professor though.*

4) *no comments.*

5) *Real life examples to show how class is utilized in real world scenarios*

6) *Provide more extra credits.*

7) *The material itself is hard to find very interesting, if I am being honest. So somehow making the class more engaging so that it is easier to stay engaged in the material.*

8) *Have a room free from distractions*

9) *He did great.*

10) *A little.*

11) *Considering that this is an online course I believe that the professor did a great job. There isn't anything that needs to be changed in order to "improve" the course.*

12) *Maybe just send more emails to the students to keep them updated more.*

13) *He has done very well. He was awesome!*

14) *I honestly cannot think of anything at the moment.*

15) *I think the course is fine how it is.*

16) - Probably face to face mode will give him a better chance to show his ability

17) I do not have any suggestions.

18) He could review tests.

19) He could have given more examples from society and reality

20) By doing the review

21) better microphone

Would you recommend this instructor to other students? Why?

1) Yes his syllabus was great and exactly on schedule even with adjustments made updates quickly. He also seems like he has a lot of knowledge in this area and explained it in terms that some of us might not fully understand as well as him. Overall great class.

2) I would not, other professors have lectures that are easier to follow.

3) Yes. You can tell he cares about his students.

4) Sure, he understand the subject well.

5) yes, understanding and helpful

6) Yes, clear explanation.

7) Yes, he is clear, concise, and understanding.

8) Very easy to understand course material. Would recommend this instructor for this class

9) Yes, because he is very patient.

10) Only if they don't mind using lockdown browser.

11) Yes, this class was fairly easy if you put the time and effort. The professor is great at teaching this course.

12) No, not very good.

13) Yes. I recommend this professor to any student, He is very smart and knowledgeable. He cares about his students and is always there for them.

14) Yes, I would recommend the Professor. Easy to understand the subject.

15) He is very understanding and easy to follow as he teaches.

16) - Yes - Respectful - Flexible - Understanding

17) I would recommend as he explained things well and always had time to answer students questions thoroughly so that they understood.

18) Yes, his lessons were to the point and presented in a logical manner. He answered all questions I had thoroughly.

19) Yes, i would because this is overall an easy class and the professor is very nice.

20) Yes, the homework and exams were based on the lectures taught in class and the exams were fair. The Packback system was okay, it was a good method to discuss topics that were relative to the material taught.

21) yes

22) yes. he was fairly decent

What other comments and suggestions would you like to make about the instructor or the course?

1) N/A

2) none

3) Professor, thank you for a great semester.

4) *nothing else, instructor is good at teaching and patient with students when they do not understand something*

5) *n/a*

6) *none*

7) *none*

8) *This semester was difficult but being in this class was enjoyable because it was interesting and it wasn't as difficult as my other courses.*

9) *I felt like a TA was teaching the course instead of a professor.*

10) *I recommend this course with Prof. Soriano.*

11) *I enjoyed taking this class because of the instructor.*

12) *N/A*

13) *None.*

14) *I enjoyed the class, material was explained well and work was fair.*

15) *n/a*

16) *none*

Additional Comments (for Distance Education Course ONLY)

1) *N/A*

2) *Just wanted to say thanks to the professor for being so nice and understanding and to keep up the good work.*

3) *N/A*

4) *This class was enjoyable, I will take other classes taught by this professor.*

5) *n/a*

Mean= 5 point scale, higher scores desirable

N, Mean=The college statistic data for items 11 through 14 include Distance Education.

© 2022 The University of Houston. All rights reserved. 4800 Calhoun Road, Houston, Texas 77204 (713) 743-2255